

IN THE UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

Applicant(s):

Heath

Atty. Docket No.:

RPS6043D2-US

Serial No.:

10/075,824

Examiner:

Nguyen, T.

Filing Date:

February 13, 2002

Art Unit:

1722

Entitled:

APPARATUS FOR MAKING EMBOSSED BLISTER PACK

CERTIFICATE OF MAILING UNDER 37 C.F.R. §1.10(a)

Date of Deposit:

4100104

I hereby certify that this Response to Office Action document is being sent as U.S. Express Mail No. EV404972245US and deposited with the U.S. Postal Service, postage prepaid, to: Commissioner for Patents, MAIL STOP – AMENDMENT (FEE), P.O. Box 1450, Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450.

Jennifer Warner

MAIL STOP AMENDMENT (FEE)
Commissioner for Patents
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, VA 22313-1450

SUPPLEMENTAL INFORMATION DISCLOSURE STATEMENT

With regard to the above-identified application, the items of information listed on the enclosed Form 1449 are brought to the attention of the Examiner to supplement the IDS filed June 10, 2002.

This statement should be considered because it is submitted before the receipt of a Notice of Allowance. A Fee Transmittal form, in duplicate, is submitted herewith which authorizes the Commissioner to withdraw the fees associated with the filing of this Supplemental IDS from Applicant's representative's Deposit Account.

Applicant is submitting this art because it recognize it's to disclose pursuant to 37 C.F.R. §1.56. However, submission of this art and Statement is not an admission that any item identified contains matter that anticipates the invention or that renders the invention obvious to a person of ordinary skill in the art. Further, Applicant believes that none of the art submitted

herewith, alone or in proper combination, discloses, teaches or suggests the invention claimed by Applicants.

No representation is made that a reference constitutes prior art within the meaning of 35 U.S.C. §102 and §103 and Applicant reserves the right, pursuant to 37 C.F.R. §1.131 or otherwise to establish that the reference(s) are not "prior art." Moreover, Applicant does not represent that a reference has been thoroughly reviewed or that any relevance of any portion of a reference is intended.

Consideration of the items listed is respectfully requested. Pursuant to the provisions of M.P.E.P. §609, it is requested that the Examiner return a copy of the attached Form 1449, marked as being considered and initialed by the Examiner, to the undersigned with the next official communication.

Date: 16 7004

Respectfully submitted,

Andrew G. Rozycki, Reg. No. 36,406

Attorney for Applicants Cardinal Health, Inc. 7000 Cardinal Place Dublin, Ohio 43017

Telephone: (614) 757-7413 Facsimile: (614) 757-2243 APR 2 6 2004
ORM PTO-1449 TO BE FILED WITH THE INFORMATION DISCLOSURE STATEMENT

| U.S. Department of Commerce Patent and Trademark Office | Docket No.: RPS6043D2-US | Serial No.: 10/076,038 |
|--|--------------------------|------------------------|
| Patent and Trademark Office | Heath | |
| | Applicant | |
| INFORMATION DISCLOSURE | | 4700 |
| STATEMENT BY APPLICANT | February 15, 2002 | 1722 |
| | Filing Date | Group Art Unit |
| | | J. Rhee |
| | | Examiner's Name |

U.S. PATENT DOCUMENTS

| | Examiner's Initials | Document No. | <u>Date</u> | <u>Inventor(s)</u> | Class/Sub-class |
|---|---------------------|--------------|-------------|--------------------|-----------------|
| ľ | | 4,537,312 | 08-1985 | Initini, Thoma D. | 206/531 |
| ľ | | 5,236,749 | 08-1993 | Ewing, William D. | 428/35.2 |

| Examiner: | Date Considered: | |
|--------------------------|------------------|--|
| OTHER DOCUMENTS | None | |
| | None | |
| FOREIGN PATENT DOCUMENTS | | |

Examiner: Initial if citation considered, whether or not citation is in conformance with MPEP §609. Draw line through citation if not in conformance and not considered. Include copy of this form with next communication to Applicant.

The identification of any document herein is not intended to be, and should not be understood as being an admission that each such document, in fact, constitutes "prior art" within the meaning of applicable law since, for example, a given document may have a later effective date than at first seems apparent or the document may have an effective date which can be antedated. The "prior art" status of any document is a matter to be resolved during prosecution.